For the Northern District of California

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	EAGLE BAG CORPORATION, a California	
11	corporation,	No. C 06-02470 WHA
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	REMINDER NOTICE OF
14	SMITH SEED SERVICES, an Oregon corporation,	UPCOMING TRIAL AND FINAL PRETRIAL
15	Defendant.	CONFERENCE
16	SMITH SEED SERVICES, an Oregon corporation,	
17	Counterclaimant,	
18	V.	
19	EAGLE BAY CORPORATION, a	
20	California corporation,	
21	Counterdefendant.	
22		
23	This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a FINAL	
24	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE on APRIL 23, 2007, at 2:00 P.M., with a BENCH TRIAL on	
25	MAY 7, 2007. Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all	
26	standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's website at	

 $http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. \ \ Continuances \ will \ rarely \ be \ granted.$

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including *in limine* orders, time limits and exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure pending a formal dismissal.

In order to evaluate whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance, please file a joint report within fourteen days of service of this order describing the progress and status of your ADR efforts to date and any further ADR recommendations by the parties.

Dated: January 10, 2007.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE